

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
14 December 2000 (14.12.2000)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 00/74909 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: B27K 3/00, A62D 3/00, B27N 1/00 (GR). NAKOS, Panagiotis [GR/GR]; 8 Lachana Str., GR-Thessaloniki (GR). LABAT, Gilles [FR/FR]; 1, rue du Bocage, F-Bordeaux (FR). RIGAL, Luc [FR/FR]; 118, route de Narbonne, F-Toulouse (FR).
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/GR00/00020
- (22) International Filing Date: 2 June 2000 (02.06.2000) (74) Agent: PAPACONSTANTINO, Helen, G.; 2 Coumbari Street, GR-106 74 Athens (GR).
- (25) Filing Language: English (81) Designated States (national): AU, BR, CA, CN, JP, MX, NZ, RU, TR, US.
- (26) Publication Language: English (84) Designated States (regional): European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).
- (30) Priority Data: 9913074.2 4 June 1999 (04.06.1999) GB
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): ENIGMA N.V. [NL/NL]; De Ruytercade 62, Curacao (AN). Published: — With international search report.
- (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): MANTANIS, George [GR/GR]; 8 Zoumetikou Str., GR-Thessaloniki
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*



WO 00/74909 A1

(54) Title: METHOD FOR EXTRACTING AND RECYCLING WASTE CHEMICALLY TREATED WOOD

(57) Abstract: A continuous process for the extraction, decontamination and defibration of waste creosote-, chromated copper arsenate- or pentachlorophenol-treated wood has been developed, which is based on a one-step chemical-thermal-mechanical treatment that allows the production of fibres suitable for the formation of lignocellulosic composite materials.

**METHOD FOR EXTRACTING AND RECYCLING  
WASTE CHEMICALLY TREATED WOOD**

5           This invention relates to the extraction, decontamination and defibration of waste chemically treated (contaminated) wood with a one-step process that yields fibres suitable for the production of lignocellulosic composite materials.

10

          By chemically treated wood is meant exterior-use wood which has been impregnated in the past with chemicals (i.e. preservatives) - some of them being hazardous - such as creosote, salts of chromium, copper and arsenic  
15 (CCA), pentachlorophenol (PCP) and others. Such a chemical treatment is carried out in order to protect wood and make it durable against long term deterioration, weathering and natural ageing. In general, chemically  
20 treated (contaminated) wood has been used in the applications of construction and garden timber, electricity poles, telecommunication poles, railway sleepers, posts, etc.

          Gilbert et al. (U.S. 5.262.004, 1993) developed a  
25 method for extracting chemical preservatives from treated wood by chipping, impregnating with alkali, treating with saturated steam, explosive decompression and refining in a crusher permitting the grinding of wood. This technology never reached to the pilot-scale level due to  
30 its multiple-step operation and complexity.

          Levien et al. (U.S. 5.364.475, 1994) invented a process based on a supercritical fluid extraction for recycling waste treated wood. Such wood is chipped and stripped so that the core can separately be processed  
35 since it contains less or no contaminants. The rest of it

is subjected to a supercritical fluid (SCF) treatment at high and moderate temperatures. Main disadvantage of the process is the use of carbon dioxide and methanol as modifiers, while the equipment required is very  
5 expensive.

Fransham et al. (U.S. 5.378.323, 1995) developed a method and apparatus for removing oil- and tar- based wood preservatives from sawdust. The efficiency of the method is questionable, while it requires high energy  
10 consumption for shaving and dusting the treated wood.

Korfiatis and Pal (U.S. 5.629.199, 1997) claimed a sonically enhanced method for removing creosote and PCP from treated wood products. In this process, treated wood is disintegrated to produce chips that are then contacted  
15 with an organic solvent, preferably methanol, and sonicated to extract the contaminants. The efficiency of the process is limited to an extraction degree of approx. 94-96% which is obtained after long treatment times (10-12 hours).

20 Ruddick and Cui (U.S. 5.476.975, 1995) developed a method for extracting organic toxic contaminants such as PCP, polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins, etc. from wood with a supercritical fluid such as carbon dioxide and use of an entrainer such as methanol or ethanol. The process  
25 requires times of 4-5 hours for a relatively efficient extraction and applies very high pressures.

Portier et al. (Microbial-assisted remediation of creosote- and pentachlorophenol- treated wood products, Journal of Industrial Microbiology, Vol.17, 1996, p. 1-5)  
30 reported on a new lab scale recycling method for waste creosote- and pentachlorophenol- treated wood, that consists of an extraction with methanol and a bio-

polishing with a microbial consortium containing specific adapted strains.

Michanickl and Boehme (U.S. 5.804.035, 1998) developed a process for recycling waste particleboards and fibreboards. In this process, which is a discontinuous process, chipped waste boards are treated with steam and additives such as urea and dilute mineral acids at temperatures around 120°C. The chipped boards disintegrate completely. Thereafter, this treated material is dried in a conventional dryer and processed as usual for the production of particleboards. No experience exists on using waste treated wood. The process is used today industrially to recycle only waste urea-formaldehyde bonded particleboards.

In the present application, it is described a method for extracting, decontaminating and defibrating waste chemically treated wood of different types by subjecting it to a chemical-thermal treatment at from 40 to 100°C accompanied by a mechanical treatment with high shear forces which defibrate the wood. The resulting clean and extracted fibres can be formed into composites, for example, dry process fibreboard, wet process low density fibreboard (softboard), wet process high density fibreboard (hardboard), special particleboard having such recycled fibres in the core layer, and other moulded products, by bonding, if necessary, with conventional synthetic resins. The extent of the high shear treatment, temperature and composition of chemical reagents required for the efficient extraction depend on the type of contaminant, degree of contamination, type of wood as well as on the lignocellulosic composite material to be produced. The lignocellulosic composites are bonded, if necessary, with synthetic adhesives such as urea-formaldehyde resins (UF),

melamine-urea-formaldehyde resins (MUF), phenol-formaldehyde resins (PF), melamine-urea-phenol-formaldehyde resins (MUPF), melamine-formaldehyde resins (MF), isocyanate binders (PMDI) and others.

5

Accordingly to the invention, therefore, there is provided a one-step method for extracting and decontaminating waste chemically treated wood such as creosote-, CCA-, PCP- treated etc., and simultaneously  
10 defibrating it to fibres suitable for the manufacture of lignocellulosic composite materials. The treatment is carried out in alkaline conditions at 40° to 100°C accompanied by the application of high shear forces on the wood in a low pressure environment.

15

The method involves the treatment of waste chemically treated wood at from 40°C to 100°C. Most preferably the range is between 60°C to 80°C.

20 A typical operating pressure in the system would be from 1 to 2 atm.

The present method can be carried out in any high shear device at shearing intensities in the range of 250-  
25 300 kWh/ton of dry wood. The treatment according to the invention is thus conducted in a high shear-mixing device operated at low pressure close to the atmospheric (1 atm) under specific chemical, thermal and mechanical conditions. Use of a conventional twin-screw extruder device in  
30 accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention provides the requisite high shear application.

The high shear forces to be applied depend on the wood material and the types of chemicals added to the substrate.  
35 Moreover, dilute alkali like sodium hydroxide or sodium

5 sulphite along with special emulsifiers like o,m,p, dodecyl sulfonic acid, or additives of chelatant, or sequestrants like ethylene diamine tetracetate are usually applied. In overall, the aforesaid reagents are used in the form of water solution or suspension in quantities between 0.01-5% based on dry wood.

Following the defibration, and for dry processes, the fibres produced can be washed, neutralised (if necessary),  
10 blended with a synthetic resin and additives, and finally dried using conventional air dryers (e.g. flash dryers) in a continuous process. From then onwards, the dried fibres follow the conventional procedure as for the production of medium density fibreboards. Alternatively, and for the  
15 production of wet process fibreboards, the known conventional processes can be similarly applied, following the process in the high shear device.

The starting materials (wood chips) can be obtained by  
20 mechanically disintegrating waste chemically treated wood, i.e. timbers, poles, posts, in conventional hammermills.

The invention is illustrated by the examples which follow, given without limitation:

25

#### **EXAMPLE 1**

A CCA-treated post was disintegrated in chips by utilising a conventional hammermill. 10 kg of such chips  
30 were introduced in a lab scale twin-screw extruder, operated at atmospheric pressure, extracted and defibrated using an alkaline system of 0.4% sodium hydroxide (on dry wood) and 0.2% ethylene diamine tetracetate (on dry wood) at 80°C. This alkaline  
35 treatment was very efficient and the extraction of

contaminated wood in the extruder removed 99.8% of copper and chromium and 99.9% of arsenic.

#### **EXAMPLE 2**

5

A waste creosote-treated electricity pole of an approx. 25% degree of contamination (on the dry basis) was disintegrated in chips by utilising a conventional lab chipper. 10 kg of such chips were introduced in the mentioned extruder, extracted, decontaminated and defibrated using an alkaline system of 0.4% sodium hydroxide (on dry wood) and 0.1% o,m,p dodecyl sulfonic acid (on dry wood) at 80°C. This alkaline extraction removed approx. 99% of all creosote compounds.

15

#### **EXAMPLE 3**

The fibres produced from Example 2 were dried and used for the production of lab-scale MDF fibreboards of 16mm thickness after mixing with a conventional UF resin. The resin level employed was 12%, the pressing temperature was 200°C, the pressing time was 15sec/mm and the press pressure was 35Kg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Three replicate boards were produced and their properties were subsequently determined. The values of board properties are presented in the Table below.

The formaldehyde (HCHO) emission was determined by using the Perforator method. As it can be seen from the results of this test, the decontaminated fibres generated from waste creosote-treated wood gave MDF boards with quite high internal bond and bending strength properties. The quality of the boards produced in overall was very satisfactory.

35

Lab MDF boards	1	2	3
Internal bond (IB) strength, N/mm <sup>2</sup>	0.60	0.57	0.55
Modulus of rupture (MOR), N/mm <sup>2</sup>	20.3	21.0	19.7
24h swell, %	13.5	14.0	14.5
HCHO, mg/100g board	10.2	9.5	9.6



**CLAIMS:**

1. A process for extracting and decontaminating waste  
chemically treated wood and simultaneously defibrating  
5 it to fibres suitable for the manufacture of  
lignocellulosic composite materials, in which waste  
chemically treated exterior-use wood is subjected in  
particle form to a combined chemical-thermal-mechanical  
treatment in an aqueous alkaline system, in the  
10 presence of a chemical additive which is selected from  
dilute bases, emulsifiers and decontamination /  
disintegration agents, at 40° to 100°C under a high-  
shear force at intensities of 250-300 kWh/ton dry wood,  
the system operating at a low pressure close to the  
15 atmospheric.
2. A process according to claim 1, wherein the waste  
chemically treated wood comprises creosote-, chromated  
copper arsenate- and pentachlorophenol-treated wood.  
20
3. A process according to any one of claims 1 and 2 in  
which the chemical-thermal-mechanical treatment is  
carried out in a twin-screw extruder.
- 25 4. A process according to any one of claims 1 to 3 in  
which waste chemically treated wood is mechanically  
disintegrated into chips before the chemical-thermal-  
mechanical treatment.
- 30 5. A process according to any one of claims 1 to 3,  
wherein the waste chemically treated wood is extracted,  
decontaminated and defibrated in the presence of 0.01-  
5% by weight sodium hydroxide.

6. A process according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the waste chemically treated wood is extracted, decontaminated and defibrated in the presence of 0.01-5% by weight sodium sulphite.

5

7. A process according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the waste chemically treated wood is extracted, decontaminated and defibrated in the presence of o,m,p dodecyl sulfonic acid as an emulsifier.

10

8. A process according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the waste chemically treated wood is extracted, decontaminated and defibrated in the presence of additives of chelatant.

15

9. A process according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the waste chemically treated wood is extracted, decontaminated and defibrated in the presence of ethylene diamine tetracetate as sequestrant.

20

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/GR 00/00020

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 7 B27K3/00 A62D3/00 B27N1/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 7 B27K A62D B27L B27N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 804 035 A (BOEHME CHRISTIAN ET AL) 8 September 1998 (1998-09-08) cited in the application column 2, line 15-32,45-63 column 3, line 51 -column 4, line 41 column 5, line 36 -column 6, line 44 claims ---	1-5
A	US 4 433 813 A (WHATTON RODNEY ET AL) 28 February 1984 (1984-02-28) ---	
A	EP 0 774 330 A (STELLA SPA) 21 May 1997 (1997-05-21) ---	
A	WO 96 14902 A (STEVENS INST TECHNOLOGY ;KORFIATIS GEORGE (US); PAL NIRUPAM (IN)) 23 May 1996 (1996-05-23) ---	
	-/--	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.       Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

<p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p>	<p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p> <p>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</p>
--	--

Date of the actual completion of the international search  <b>7 September 2000</b>	Date of mailing of the international search report  <b>19/09/2000</b>
--	---

Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer  <b>Dalkafouki, A</b>
--	--

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/GR 00/00020
---

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 8341 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class A81, AN 83-785814 XP002113339 & JP 58 147345 A (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC WORKS LTD), 2 September 1983 (1983-09-02) abstract -----	1
A	DE 44 02 015 A (TECH WISSENSCHAFTLICHE GES MBH) 20 July 1995 (1995-07-20) cited in the application -----	
A	DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 198803 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class F09, AN 1988-019489 XP002146948 & SU 1 313 931 A (PAPER RES INST), 30 May 1987 (1987-05-30) abstract -----	1
A	DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 199442 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class E34, AN 1994-339276 XP002146949 & RO 107 228 B (SAVU C), 29 October 1993 (1993-10-29) abstract -----	1

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Int. onal Application No

PCT/GR 00/00020

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5804035     A	08-09-1998	AT     157298 T	15-09-1997
		WO     9524998 A	21-09-1995
		DE     19509152 A	26-10-1995
		DE     59500559 D	02-10-1997
		DK     697941 T	20-04-1998
		EP     0697941 A	28-02-1996
		ES     2107311 T	16-11-1997
		GR     3025090 T	30-01-1998
		JP     8510419 T	05-11-1996
US 4433813     A	28-02-1984	AU     553080 B	03-07-1986
		AU     6104980 A	12-02-1981
		BE     884666 A	01-12-1980
		CA     1134722 A	02-11-1982
		DE     3030165 A	26-02-1981
		DK     341880 A	11-02-1981
		FI     802482 A	11-02-1981
		FR     2462981 A	20-02-1981
		GB     2056315 A,B	18-03-1981
		IT     1132343 B	02-07-1986
		LU     82696 A	15-12-1980
		NL     8004506 A	12-02-1981
		NZ     194567 A	24-08-1984
		SE     8005634 A	11-02-1981
		ZA     8004786 A	26-08-1981
EP 0774330     A	21-05-1997	IT     MI952340 A	15-05-1997
WO 9614902     A	23-05-1996	AU     4110696 A	06-06-1996
		US     5629199 A	13-05-1997
JP 58147345     A	02-09-1983	NONE	
DE 4402015     A	20-07-1995	NONE	
SU 1313931     A	30-05-1987	NONE	
RO 107228     B	30-10-1993	NONE	